

PRTPO 2024 Call for Projects

TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES (TA) APPLICATION

Project Title:

Project Sponsor:					
Contact Person:	Title:				
Phone Number:	Email Address:				
<u>Project Co-Sponsor:</u> (if applicable)					
Contact Person:	Email:				
1. PROJECT SUMMAI	RY				
Transportation Alter	natives Project Type - Primary and Secondary Functions:	1	2		
	A. Provision of facilities for pedestrians and/or bicycles.				
	B. Infrastructure projects that support safe routes for non-drivers				
	C. Conversion and use of rail corridors for non-motorized travel				
Select one box in	D. Construction of turnouts, overlooks, and viewing areas				
Column 1 that best	E. Community improvement activities (explain details later)				
reflects the primary project type.	F. Mitigation to address stormwater, wildlife mortality, or habitat connectivity				
,	G. Recreational Trails Program defined under 23 USC 206 of Title 23				
Select all boxes from Column 2 reflecting	H. Safe Routes to School infrastructure project				
other TA elements of	I. Safe Routes to School non-infrastructure project				
the project.	J. Creation of boulevards within ROW of divided highway				
See Appendix A of the Application Guide for	K. Installation of electric vehicle charging infrastructure (incl. bikes)				
description of eligible	L. Measures to protect transportation facilities from cyber threat				
project types.	M. Projects to increase tourism				
	N. Wildlife collisions mitigation				
	O. Resiliency improvements				
	P. Vulnerable road user safety assessment as defined in 23 USC 148(a)				
	Provide a short blurb about the proposal and what it will accomplish. This will be used in	n future	ę		
summaries of the project an	nd process. Detailed description is provided later.				
	Information: Detailed financial information is found in Section 3.				
Total Project					
TA Funds Red					
Matching Fun Effective Loca					

2. DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTION	
Project Location: Complete for appropriate project type. Att	each an 8 $\frac{1}{2}$ x 11 map depicting the project location and vicinity.
<u>Infrastructure Projects</u>	
Facility and termini:	
Total length:	
Non-Infrastructure Projects (e.g. Safe Routes to School	l, safety assessments, etc)
Location / Extent of Project:	
Project Duration (if applicable):	
Is this project located in a rural county in the Peninsul	a Region?
Provide the geographic coordinates for the project See page 6 of the Application Guide for assistance.	
Is this project located in a Census urbanized area?	Vac
See maps in the Application Guide for assistance.	Yes
Project Narrative: Briefly describe the proposal, the need appropriate, describe the role of project co-sponsors or other participance with project eligibility requirements specified in 23 USC	ners or community involvement. Provide sufficient detail to ensure

Evidence of Project Standing: Identify public plan(s), program(s), or process(es) from which this project was drawn. Examples include the RTP or the Human Services Transportation Plan, a TIP or CFP, a sub-area or corridor plan, a Transit Development Plan, or any other plan or program developed with public input or review opportunities.
Support for Regional Transportation Plan: Briefly explain how project supports the RTP policy intent.
Mobility Benefits in High-Need Areas: Briefly explain what impacts, if any, your project will have on at-risk populations in this vicinity. This includes low-income residents, those who are transit-dependent, and other households with a high degree of mobility insecurity. Equity analysis maps in the Appendix illustrate statewide ranking by census tract for priority Social Vulnerability characteristics associated with mobility insecurity. Applicants may provide a finer grain assessment of nearby conditions that are not evident at the census tract level.
populations in this vicinity. This includes low-income residents, those who are transit-dependent, and other households with a high degree of mobility insecurity. Equity analysis maps in the Appendix illustrate statewide ranking by census tract for priority Social Vulnerability characteristics associated with mobility insecurity. Applicants may provide a finer grain assessment of nearby conditions
populations in this vicinity. This includes low-income residents, those who are transit-dependent, and other households with a high degree of mobility insecurity. Equity analysis maps in the Appendix illustrate statewide ranking by census tract for priority Social Vulnerability characteristics associated with mobility insecurity. Applicants may provide a finer grain assessment of nearby conditions
populations in this vicinity. This includes low-income residents, those who are transit-dependent, and other households with a high degree of mobility insecurity. Equity analysis maps in the Appendix illustrate statewide ranking by census tract for priority Social Vulnerability characteristics associated with mobility insecurity. Applicants may provide a finer grain assessment of nearby conditions
populations in this vicinity. This includes low-income residents, those who are transit-dependent, and other households with a high degree of mobility insecurity. Equity analysis maps in the Appendix illustrate statewide ranking by census tract for priority Social Vulnerability characteristics associated with mobility insecurity. Applicants may provide a finer grain assessment of nearby conditions
populations in this vicinity. This includes low-income residents, those who are transit-dependent, and other households with a high degree of mobility insecurity. Equity analysis maps in the Appendix illustrate statewide ranking by census tract for priority Social Vulnerability characteristics associated with mobility insecurity. Applicants may provide a finer grain assessment of nearby conditions
populations in this vicinity. This includes low-income residents, those who are transit-dependent, and other households with a high degree of mobility insecurity. Equity analysis maps in the Appendix illustrate statewide ranking by census tract for priority Social Vulnerability characteristics associated with mobility insecurity. Applicants may provide a finer grain assessment of nearby conditions

3. DETAILED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

<u>Project Costs & Revenues:</u> Provide financial information only for the project phase directly associated with this funding request. Do not include costs or revenues from prior or future work.

Project Funding	TA Grant Request	<u>Local/Tribal</u> <u>Revenue</u>	State Revenue	Federal Revenue	<u>Total</u>

TA Matching Funds: A match is not required but an applicant may choose to provide additional funds, either to complete the funding package for a larger project or to demonstrate local commitment and priority. Note that while they can be used to augment project funding, most federal funds are restricted in their use as eligible match for Transportation Alternatives grants.

Total Project Funding

Eligible Match

Effective Match Rate:

Source and Availability	of Other Project I	- unds If match includes revenu	ues from a project partnei	r, please provide
a letter of funding comm	itment from that org	ganization.		
Revenue Source	Amount	Funding Status		

State
Federal, other

If there are any constraints or special considerations about the matching funds or project revenue, please explain:

Year of Obligation Commitment: Applicant commits to obligating the project by August 1 of indicated year.

This project will obligate no later than August 1 of

Note: any project applicant failing to meet the Obligation Deadline committed to above risks having awarded funds transferred to another regional project that is ready to proceed, delaying or possibly jeopardizing project funds. Applicants should present realistic obligation timeframes in this proposal and keep PRTPO apprised of any unexpected issues that may cause future schedule delays.

Project Scalability: This refers to the ability of the applicant to accept partial funding and still complete functional segments or elements of this project as described.

Is this project scalable?

If yes, explain how it can be scaled and what would be delivered instead.

If yes, what is a lower amount of TA funds that would still be useful?

4. PROJECT DELIVERY INFORMATION for INFRASTRUCTURE

- a. Is preliminary engineering and design complete?
- b. Does this project require right-of-way acquisition?
- c. Does this project require an environmental approval?

If yes, what type of approval will be required?

This section is just for infrastructure projects. Non-infrastructure projects skip this section.

5. CERTIFICATION ACCEPTANCE (CA) STATUS

All projects must have a designated CA representative who will oversee project delivery. This is a federal requirement over which PRTPO has no control. An agency without CA status itself must secure approval from an agency that does have CA status to administer the project. See page 3 in the Application Guide for information on how to obtain a CA administrator. *Non-CA applicants must include a letter or email confirmation from their CA administrator.*

CA Agency:

CA Agency Representative:

6. APPLICATION AUTHORIZATION AND APPROVAL

To be completed and approved by the representative authorized to bind the funding application.

This proposal accurately represents a high priority project that is consistent with and supports the PRTPO Regional Transportation Plan. The project is derived from a prior local or regional plan or process in which the public was invited to participate.

Costs reflect the most current planning level estimates of what is needed to accomplish the work described. The project as described is financially feasible. Match revenue as described will be committed to the project if it is awarded TA funds. The obligation commitment reflects a realistic schedule that we will adhere to. I am aware that failure to meet the obligation deadline may result in funds being reprogrammed to a different project, possibly resulting in delays or a loss of funding to this project.

I realize the use of federal funds entails administrative and project compliance requirements over which PRTPO has no control. The costs and schedule for this proposal were developed with this awareness of federal requirements and are deemed to be feasible in light of those requirements. PRTPO is not responsible for cost overruns or delays that may be attributed to the use of federal funds.

This project has the full support of the governing / leadership body of this organization. I approve its submittal to PRTPO for consideration of an award of Transportation Alternatives funding.

Signature	Date	
Name, Title		

Please email completed application packets to Thera Black at TheraB@PeninsulaRTPO.org
Applications are due by 5:00 pm on Monday, March 4, 2024.



March 4, 2024

Peninsula Regional Transportation Planning Organization 60 Washington Ave, Suite 200 Bremerton, WA 98337

Attention: Thera Black, PRTPO Coordinator

RE: Transportation Alternatives Application for Cohesive Investment Strategy

Dear Ms. Black:

The City of Port Townsend is pleased to submit the enclosed Multi-modal Arterial Street Cohesive Investment Strategy application for funding under the Transportation Alternatives Program. The City is thankful for this opportunity to apply for funding to further enhance a cohesive network of multi-modal transportation in Port Townsend. The City Council unanimously supported this application at their January 16, 2024, business meeting.

Understanding how and where multimodal facilities need to be constructed to further improve resident accessibility for all has become a realization this last couple of years given the myriad grant resources the City is pursuing. These grant programs provide an amazing opportunity to develop infrastructure. This study will help the City be more successful in securing and implanting grants based on developing a cohesive strategy of linking and prioritizing investments within the City's arterial street network. The timing couldn't be more perfect as the City is updating the 1997 Non-motorized plan coincident with the periodic update of the Comprehensive Plan. We know that multimodal connections are the key to success in serving the whole community and especially those areas of the City that are disproportionately disadvantaged.

Please do not hesitate to contact me or the Steve King (sking@cityofpt.us), our City Public Works Director, with any questions you may have.

Sincerely,

John Mauro, City Manager



Transportation Alternatives Grant Application 15 Arterial Streets to Evaluate

